

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,888	01/07/2002	Bill M. Culbertson	22727/04083	8873
24024	7590 12/23/2004		EXAMINER	
	ALTER & GRISWOL	YOON, TAE H		
800 SUPERIO SUITE 1400	OR AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAN	D, OH 44114	1714		
			DATE MAILED: 12/23/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summan	10/040,888	CULBERTSON ET	AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication of	Tae H. Yoon	1714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statudent Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, m oly within the statutory minimum I will apply and will expire SIX (6) te, cause the application to becor	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this corne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	s action is non-final. ance except for formal	• •	merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 11 and 18-36 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 20 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11, 18, 19 and 21-36 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that any objected to by the Examination is objected to be a by the Examination is o	cepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in ab ction is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFI	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received brity documents have beau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National S	Stage
Amount on words.)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper) 5) [Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Dat	e 20041214

Application/Control Number: 10/040,888

Art Unit: 1714

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 11, 18, 19 and 21-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recited molecular weight is indefinite in not specifying a particular average such as a number average molecular weight or weight average molecular weight since it is dependent on the polydispersity. Also, claim 34 (N- in line 2) is incomplete and thus is indefinite.

For example, the example 13 of US 5,369,142 recites a weight average molecular weight (Mw) of 17,000 and a polydispersity of 2.40, and thus a number average molecular weight (Mn) would be about 7,083 since said polydispersity equals Mw/Mn. Therefore, the polymer of said example 13 would meet the instant polymer when the instant molecular weight is a weight averaged, but would fail to meet the instant polymer when the instant molecular weight is a number averaged. This is why the recited molecular weight is indefinite. Furthermore, see table 5 of Xie et al (J.M.S.-Pure.Appl.Chem., A35(10), pp 1615-1629 (1998).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/040,888

Art Unit: 1714

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11, 18, 19 and 21-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Xie et al (J.M.S.-Pure. Appl. Chem., A35(10), pp 1631-1650 (1998) in view of Culbertson et al (ACS Symposium Series, 755, 2000, pp. 222-232), Culbertson et al (US 5,369,142) or Lu et al (US 2001/0051671 A1).

Following rejection is maintained.

Xie et al teach the instant polymer grafted with 2-isocyanatoethyl methacrylate and glass-ionomer dental restorative compositions in abstract, Figure 1A and 2 and table 1, and at page 1639, lines 17-18. Said table 1 shows MW of 14,800 (Mn) and 45,200 (Mw).

The instant invention further recites calcium fluoroaluminosilicate glass over Xie et al. However, the use of said calcium fluoroaluminosilicate glass in dental composition is a routine practice in the art as taught by Culbertson et al (ACS Symposium Series, page 223, lines 6-7), Culbertson et al (col. 3, lines 43-44 of US 5,369,142) or Lu et al (line 7 of [0044]).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to utilize calcium fluoroaluminosilicate glass taught by Culbertson et al or Lu et al in Xie et al as a glass component since Xie et al teach employing a glass component and since said calcium fluoroaluminosilicate glass is the art well known glass filler for dental compositions.

Art Unit: 1714

Applicant asserts that any combination of references fails to teach or suggest the instant kit, but the examiner disagrees for following reasons: 1. Culbertson et al (ACS Symposium Series) teach blending a liquid monomer with a glass powder at page 225, Formulations, and thus said two components (a liquid monomer and a glass powder) meet the kit. 2. Examples of Culbertson et al (US 5,369,142) and Lu et al (US 2001/0051671 A1) teach a dental composition of a kit comprising a polymerizable monomer and a glass powder.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tae H Yoon

Joeld

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714

THY/December 14, 2004